DRAFT Common Outcomes Framework for Social Prescribing

This is a discussion paper created by NHS England and partners. We are seeking feedback on plans to introduce a Common Outcomes Framework, which will measure the impact of social prescribing.

We would be grateful if you could read the following proposals and tell us your views. We will use this feedback to publish guidance in 2018.

NHS England is working with commissioners, practitioners, providers, evaluators and other stakeholder groups to work towards a consensus on what outcomes should be measured to show the impacts of social prescribing.

This will enable local social prescribing connector schemes across the country to capture core outcome data, which will create a strong evidence base, support the business case and build a national picture on the impact of social prescribing.

Social prescribing is still a relatively new innovation and at present there is no standard framework for measuring impacts. Capturing lessons about social prescribing schemes are further complicated by the organic nature of the growth in social prescribing schemes across the country, with different terminology and models used.

NHS England is committed to supporting the spread of social prescribing, through the development of a locally commissioned core social prescribing ‘connector’ service at CCG/local authority level. How connector schemes work is outlined below:

---

**Local Social Prescribing Connector Schemes:**
- Commissioned at CCG/local authority level
- Mainly hosted in the VCSE sector, either through a single project or consortium
- Employ link workers, often based in GP surgeries
- Provide holistic coaching support based on what matters to the person and co-produce support plans
- Actively connect people to community groups
- Support community groups to receive referrals

---

All General Practice primary care teams refer.
Some practices have Care Navigators who do active signposting to other agencies including social prescribing connector schemes

Police and Fire services

Hospital discharge teams, paramedics, 111

Social Workers

Allied Health Professionals

Multi-disciplinary teams (MDTs)

---

**Community Groups:**
- Creative: Art classes, dance, singing, gardening
- Connected: Peer support groups, neighbourhood help, fishing, knit and natter, faith groups
- Active: Keep moving, getting out of the house, volunteering and employment support, getting fitter, keep moving
- Safe: Housing, debt, domestic abuse, falls prevention, benefits and money
Developing a Common Outcomes Framework – the process

We have listened to commissioners and practitioners across the country, through regional social prescribing networks. We have heard requests for a common approach to documenting the impact of social prescribing schemes.

To develop the common outcomes framework, an action research approach is being used. This approach provides a method that allows data gathering, analysis, reflection and action. To date, two cycles of data gathering, analysis and reflection have been carried out between October 2017 and February 2018. Stakeholders who have been consulted include social prescribing service providers within primary care, the VCSE, academics and researchers and Community Interest Companies who have been collecting ‘big data’, commissioners and practitioners.

Furthermore in June 2017 a steering committee representing key stakeholders for social prescribing was formed to guide the production of the current draft of the framework. The steering committee has met twice and more members invited to join as gaps in representation of stakeholders were identified (see Annex A).

The next action research cycle that you are being asked to take part in will be the 3rd round of data gathering. All feedback will be analysed and incorporated into the existing version of the framework.
The three main outcomes

We believe that the existing evidence, both academic and anecdotal, suggests that the outcomes of social prescribing cover the following three key areas:

- Impact on the person, their carers and families
- Impact on Community groups
- Impact on the Health and Care system

We have heard that there are the differing aims, target groups and funding sources of social prescribing schemes. This means flexibility is essential in measuring the impact of social prescribing across these areas. As a result of early feedback, the draft framework has been designed to focus on outcomes regarded as core impacts of social prescribing schemes, with additional, optional outputs included.

We are proposing to co-produce the following work:

**Phase 1 (April 2018 - March 2019)**

**Phase 1 suggested outcomes**

*Impact on the Person*

We know that all local social prescribing connector schemes are already collecting data on impact on the person using a variety of tools, such as Short Warwick Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale, Wellbeing Star and Patient Activation Measure.
In Phase 1, we are not asking social prescribing connector schemes to do anything different, but to carry on using your existing wellbeing tools. NHS England will appreciate feedback on how useful existing wellbeing tools are.

During Phase 1, we will look at good practice on reporting on employability, including staying in work, finding new employment, volunteering, accessing training, gaining qualifications and setting up new businesses and community groups. We will work co-productively with the system and welcome offers of help, with the view to asking all connector schemes to report on employability in Phase 2.

During 2018/19, we will be working with a wide range of stakeholders to co-produce a wellbeing measure that will be freely available to the system and tested in 2019/20. Depending on the identified needs of the person, we anticipate one or more of the following aspects of wellbeing to be improved:

- Does the person feel more in control and able to manage their own health and wellbeing?
- Are they more physically active?
- Is the person better able to manage practical issues, such as debt, housing and mobility?
- Is the person more connected to others and less isolated?

**Impact on the Health and Care system**

We are proposing to ask all local social prescribing connector schemes (and their commissioners) to collect data on the following outcomes:

- Is there a change in the number of GP consultations as a result of referral to social prescribing?
- Is there a change in A&E attendances as a result of referral to social prescribing?
- Is there a change in the number of hospital bed days as a result of referral to social prescribing?
- Is there a change in the morale of staff in General Practice and other referral agencies? We will provide a short survey to help with this task.

In order to collect the above data and track patients through the system robust data-sharing agreements and partnership working is essential. We will provide development support, case studies and examples to promote robust data-sharing. NHS England will be working with NHS Digital to introduce consistent national coding for social prescribing into GP IT systems which will make data collection easier.

In Phase 1, we will find good practice and we will explore whether it is possible to show the impact on social care packages for people receiving social prescribing support. We are aware that some local areas are already working on this and we welcome their involvement to co-produce a measure which we will aim to introduce in Phase 2.

**Impact on Community groups**
We are proposing to co-produce and test a freely available short survey for local community groups and the VCSE sector about the impact of taking social prescribing referrals.

This survey will test whether community groups and VCSE organisations are stronger or weaker as a result of their involvement in social prescribing at a local level. This will include changes in the numbers of volunteers, capacity to manage referrals and what support is needed to be sustainable.

**Phase 1 suggested outputs**

To encourage a consistent approach, we ask all social prescribing connector schemes to measure the following outputs:

- Number of people referred into social prescribing schemes, number of people directly benefitting from social prescribing and number of people rejecting a referral
- Age range of people referred
- Referral criteria – ie. Long Term Conditions, in receipt of social care support, etc.
- Referral process – who refers
- Number of community groups referred to
- Number of support plans co-produced with those referred
- Number of link workers
- Salaries of link workers
- Number of volunteers
- Average amount of time spent with each person
- Total investment in the social prescribing connector scheme

This information will help us to build a national picture about the size and nature of social prescribing.

**Phase 2 (April 2019 - April 2020)**

During Phase 2, we will look to consolidate and develop the work initiated within Phase 1, which will include asking some social prescribing schemes to test the wellbeing, social care and employability related measures developed during Phase 1.

We will look to develop and test the concept of a national dashboard to enable local social prescribing connector schemes to regularly upload their outputs and outcomes in real time. This will enable us to build a national evidence base on the impact of social prescribing and strengthen the case for investment.
Developing a Common Outcomes Framework – what’s already happening?

To inform and shape the development of the draft common outcomes framework outlined above, NHS England is looking to engage with commissioners, practitioners and academics working on Social Prescribing to better understand what is already being captured, what the challenges are and what support NHS England can offer through the framework.

To have your say, please answer the questions outlined below across all three areas identified and return to england.socialprescribing@nhs.net:

i Impact on the Person
ii Impact on the Health and Care system
iii Impact on Community groups

1. Please comment on the above draft Common Outcomes Framework. Does this provide you with a helpful framework?

2. What challenges does the Common Outcomes Framework present?

3. What support do you require to put the suggested Common Outcomes Framework in place?
4. Any other comments or feedback
# Annex A - Stakeholder Engagement

## Steering Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organisation/Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tim Straughan (Chair)</td>
<td>Choice and Personalisation, NHS England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Allan</td>
<td>NHS Digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuzhat Ali</td>
<td>Public Health England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sian Brand</td>
<td>Living Safe and Well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Bull</td>
<td>General Practice Development, NHS England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faye Bunch</td>
<td>Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarmid Campbell-Jack</td>
<td>Ecorys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Davies</td>
<td>I5 Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Dickson</td>
<td>Sheffield City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Fox</td>
<td>Shared Lives Plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Hallett</td>
<td>Imago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulrike Harrower</td>
<td>Public Health England SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Haslam</td>
<td>Evidence Unit, NHS England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelia Howard</td>
<td>Healthy London Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Kimberlee</td>
<td>University of the West of England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Liles</td>
<td>R-Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Lister</td>
<td>The Conservation Volunteers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Magowan</td>
<td>Department of Culture, Media and Sport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Owen</td>
<td>Tower Hamlets CCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Polley</td>
<td>University of Westminster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaynaide Powis</td>
<td>Evidence Unit, NHS England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Standfield</td>
<td>NHS Business Services Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Swann</td>
<td>Public Health England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bev Taylor</td>
<td>Choice and Personalisation, NHS England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Watson</td>
<td>Choice and Personalisation, NHS England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jayne Whiteside</td>
<td>University of Westminster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giles Wilmore</td>
<td>Greater Manchester Combined Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Wider Stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organisation/Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tim Antilogoff</td>
<td>Herts Valley CCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcello Bertotti</td>
<td>University of East London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm Bray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Burchill</td>
<td>University of Sheffield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Carr</td>
<td>Social Enterprise Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Coan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Cowan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Dayson</td>
<td>Sheffield Hallam University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Dixon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Drinkwater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Escadale</td>
<td>East Lancashire CCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Fleming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Hartley</td>
<td>VONNE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Hopewell</td>
<td>Bromley-by-Bow Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Jenkins</td>
<td>Nottingham CCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Kay</td>
<td>The Dunhill Medical Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clare Mahoney</td>
<td>Liverpool CCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alyson McGregor</td>
<td>Altogether Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Neff</td>
<td>Elemental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharda Patel</td>
<td>NHS Digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Pilkington</td>
<td>Portsmouth University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Robins</td>
<td>Public Health Shropshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Russell</td>
<td>West Midlands Academic Health Science Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohan Sekeram</td>
<td>Merton CCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Stenlake</td>
<td>Department of Work and Pensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Walsh</td>
<td>Red Zebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Ward</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Woolfall</td>
<td>West Cheshire CCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lois Wreathall</td>
<td>West Suffolk CCG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>